UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,472	04/03/2006	Ryuzo Ueno	Q94207	9123
23373 SUGHRUE MI	IINER			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			HEINCER, LIAM J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/574,472	UENO ET AL. Art Unit	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Liam J. Heincer	1796	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Liam J. Heincer</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Bruce Kramer</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>05 March 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 3-6</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed methodology</u> <u>Calundann. Applicant was advised that due to differences the same methodology would be best. Additionally, applicated metling point. Applicant was directed towards the section of polymers.</u>	nod of determining melting poi in melting point evaluations, a ant argued that Calundann wo	nt of the polyme showing by app uld not be conce	<u>r in</u> blicant using erned with
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPROPERTY.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
Т	/Mark Eashoo/		
	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1796	